

**REMARKS****Claim Objections**

Claims 1-9 are canceled. Claims 10-19 are pending. Claims 10-11, 13, 15-17 and 19 have been amended only to correct the thirteen informalities raised in the office action.

**Double Patenting Rejection**

Claims 10-12 and 14-18 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. RE 37,072, the parent to this application.

Claims 10-12 and 14-18 were further rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,612,912, a Continuation-in-part to U.S. Patent No. 5,532,955.

Claims 10-12 and 14-18 were also rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,532,955, a related, commonly owned patent.

Although Applicant does not agree with the double patenting rejections, because there is no loss in term, a terminal disclaimer is being filed herewith in order to overcome these rejections.

**35 USC § 251 Rejection**

Claims 10-19 were rejected as being based upon a defective reissue oath/declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251. The rejection is noted, and a revised, unsigned declaration is attached for Examiner's review. Applicant will submit a fully executed declaration when application is otherwise allowable.

**CONCLUSION**

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is believed that all claims are in condition for allowance, and it is respectfully requested that the application be passed to issue. If the Examiner feels that a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this case, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.

By   
James M. Smith  
Registration No. 28,043  
Telephone: (978) 341-0036  
Facsimile: (978) 341-0136

Concord, MA 01742-9133

Dated: 11/7/6